

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

CHANDRA NEMBANG,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CASE NO. 21-cv-2403

OPINION & ORDER
[Resolving Doc. 1]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Plaintiff Chandra Nembang seeks judicial review of the Social Security Administration Commissioner's final decision denying her application for Supplemental Security Income.¹

On October 4, 2022, Magistrate Judge Parker filed a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the Court affirm the Commissioner's final decision.² Defendant did not file an objection to the R&R.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires district courts to conduct a *de novo* review only of objected-to portions of an R&R.³ Absent objection, district courts may adopt an R&R without review.⁴ Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the R&R and concurs in its reasoning and result.

¹ Doc. 1 at 1.

² Doc. 11 at 1.

³ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

⁴ *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–52 (1985). Failure to timely object may waive a party's right to appeal the district court's order adopting the R&R. *Id.* at 155; *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Case No. 21-cv-2430
Gwin, J.

So, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Parker's R&R, and **AFFIRMS** the
Commissioner's final decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 26, 2023

s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE